

File name: testmath.tex

American Mathematical Society

January 1995

1 Introduction

This paper contains examples of various features from -LATEX .

2 Enumeration of Hamiltonian paths in a graph

Let \mathbf{A} be the adjacency matrix of graph G . The corresponding Kirchhoff matrix is obtained from \mathbf{A} by replacing in \mathbf{A} each diagonal entry by the degree of its corresponding vertex; i.e., the i th diagonal entry is identified with the degree of the i th vertex. It is well known that

$$\det \mathbf{K}(i|i) = \text{the number of spanning trees of } G, i=1, \dots, n \quad (1)$$

where $\mathbf{K}(i|i)$ is the i th principal submatrix of \mathbf{K} .

$\det \mathbf{K}(i|i) = \text{the number of spanning trees of } G$,

Let \mathcal{X} be the set of graphs obtained from G by attaching edge e to each spanning tree of G . Denote by \mathcal{H} . It is obvious that the collection of Hamiltonian cycles is a subset of \mathcal{H} . Note that the cardinality of \mathcal{H} is 2^n . Let

$$\mathcal{X} = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$$

Define multiplication for the elements of \mathcal{X} by

(2)

Let \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{X} . Then the number of Hamiltonian cycles is given by the relation [Liuchow's formula]

(3)

The task here is to express (2) in a form free of any $i=1, \dots, n$. The result also leads to the resolution of enumeration of Hamiltonian paths in a graph. It is well known that the enumeration of Hamiltonian cycles and paths in a complete graph and in a complete bipartite graph can only be found from *first combinatorial principles* [Hapala's formula]. One wonders if there exists a formula which can be used very efficiently to produce \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{X} . Recently, using Lagrangian methods, Goulden and Jackson have shown that \mathcal{H} can be expressed in terms of the determinant and permanent of the adjacency matrix [Goulden's formula]. However, the formula of Goulden and Jackson determines neither \mathcal{H} nor \mathcal{X} effectively. In this paper, using an algebraic method, we parametrize the adjacency matrix. The resulting formula also involves the determinant and permanent, but it can easily be applied to \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{X} . In addition, we eliminate the permanent from \mathcal{H} and show that \mathcal{H} can be represented by a determinantal function of multivariables, each variable with domain $0,1$. Furthermore, we show that \mathcal{H} can be written by number of spanning trees of subgraphs. Finally, we apply the formulas to a complete multigraph.

The conditions $i, j=1, \dots, n$, are not required in this paper. All formulas can be extended to a digraph simply by multiplying by 2.

3 Main Theorem

[Sorry. Ignored $\begin{notation} \dots \end{notation}$]